United States District Court

District of North Dakota

Ang	gela Hansen, et al.,		
	Plaintiffs,	JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE	
VS.			
Stat	e of North Dakota, et al	Case No. 1:17-cv-00236	
	Defendants		
Jury Verdict. This action came before the Court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and the jury has rendered its verdict.			
	Decision by Court . This a been rendered.	ction came to trial or hearing before the Court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision	has
Decision on Motion . This action came before the Court on motion. The issues have been considered and a decision rendered.			
Stipulation. This action came before the court on motion of the parties. The issues have been resolved.			
	Dismissal . This action wa	voluntarily dismissed by Plaintiff pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(ii).	
IT IS	ORDERED AND ADJ	UDGED:	
Pursuant	to Court Order dated January 29, 20	19, the Court adopts the Report and Recommendation in its entirety, and orders the following:	
2. The M named po Departme E. Reich; 3. The M	otion to Dismiss by the State Defenersons and entities: State of North Dent of Health and Human Services; Estate of Judge Donald Jorgensen;	fault (Doc. No. 31) and her motion for default judgment (Doc. No. 32) are DENIED. dants (Doc. No. 28) is GRANTED, and the complaint is DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE with respect to the following akota; North Dakota State Office of Court Administration; North Dakota County Court Administrator; North Dakota Gerald VandeWalle; Daniel Crothers; Lisa Fair-McEvers; Dale Sandstrom; Carol Ronning-Kapsner; Gail Hagerty; Dav Ricahrd Geiger; Wayne Stenehjem; Sally Holewa; and Donna Wonderlich. (Doc. No. 33) be GRANTED and the complaint be DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE with respect to defendant Rodne.	rid
	lotion to Dismiss by Legal Services lant Legal Services of North Dakota	of North Dakota (Doc. No. 36) be GRANTED and the complaint be DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE with respect	
5. Hanse failed to	n has failed to comply with the Ordo show cause as to why those persons REJUDICE the remaining defendan	r to Show Cause. She has failed to provide appropriate proofs of service as to the remaining defendants and she has on whose behalf she is seeking to sue should not be dismissed from the action. Accordingly, the Court DISMISSES is and those persons on whose behalf Hansen attempted to sue. Therefore the case is dismissed in its entirety, with	
Date:	January 29, 2019	ROBERT J. ANSLEY, CLERK OF COURT	
		by: /s/ Anja Miller, Deputy Clerk	